SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT NUMBER 01/35812/S08/SP01 National Quality Assurance-USA									
THIS REPORT RELATES TO Surveillance VISIT ON 11/28-29/01									
Company NASA, George C, Marshall Space	ce Flight Center	Other Sites Visited 1.							
Address Marshall Space Flight Alab Center	ama	2.							
Scope: All Products and Services Provided by the Marshall Space Flight Center. MSFC Supports the NASA Agency infrastructure and is a major contributor to all its scientific and technical enterprises.									
Standard(s) 9001:2000 Supp	oort Documentation(s)	Non-English Languages	Used						
Previously identified observations have been Recommed registration to ISO 9001:2000.	adequately addressed or written	herein.							
The visit is deemed to be Satisfactory Unsatisfactory visits may result in a change to the activity.	e next audit Return CAI Certificate QS-9000 N	an (CAP) Instructions: Pin 20 working days (all NCs & QS processing initiates after receipt/acc process must be cleared prior to certificate in ten days for major NCs issed du	eptance of CAPs. ite issuance.						
NQA ASSESSMENT TEAM		COMPANY INFORMA	ATION						
LEAD AUDITOR Rick Giguere		MGT REP Axel Roth							
TEAM Joe Grieco TEAM TEAM TEAM		QUALITY MANUAL (REV & ISSUE DATE) MPD 1280.1 Rev H 11/2/01							
The contents of this report is confidential and must not be disclosed to a third party without prior agreement of NQA, USA and the company named above. Non-compliances/non-conformances raised or observations noted within this report are the result of limited sampling and therefore non-compliances/non-conformances may exist which have not been identified. The company representative's signature indicates their agreement and understanding of any non-compliances/non-conformances and observations contained in this report. Prior to the assessment, the company must have completed a complete system internal audit and subsequent management review documented. The quality system shall be understood throughout the organization. Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature									
NQA, USA Representative Date	Company Representativ	e Date	, ,						



SYSTEM REVISION STATUS AND AUDIT MATRIX

X or \checkmark indicates reference point for assessment. Note: X or \checkmark through entire box as applicable to indicate actual				SPECIFIC ISO 9001:2000 REQUIREMENTS FUNCTIONS/PROCESSES AUDITED DURING THIS VISIT								s		EXT VISIT					
function/process audited against ISO 9001:2000 requirement.				TA	u		- 1			T Blackway	110	12 112	11	I	TET	T	1	1	T
Note: Asterisk (*) indicates element to be reviewed at each activity.				A D 3 3	HEI	C D 4 0	A D 4 0	D 0 1	D 0 1	Q S 4 0	D 1 0	0 1 0	D 2 2	S 1 0	EC L S S	Q S 1 0			
NQA 26	ISO 9001:2000	Element Title	111																
	Ref																		
1*	4.2.1 & 4.2.2*	Quality Manual *	1	/					<u> </u>	 	-				 	 	_	H	
2	4.2.3	Document Control		1/						 			-	-	 	 	<u> </u>	Н	
3	4.2.4	Quality Records	1	1	 	1	╁──	 	-	 	 		 		-	 		Н	
4	4.2, 5.1, 5.2,	Management Activities	1/1	-		 -		1	<u> </u>	-	 		 	 	 	\vdash	 	Н	
	5.3, 5.4.2, 5.5		X				√	1]									
5* 6*	5.4.1* 5.6*	Quality Objectives*	V			V	V		1	/	·							\Box	V
-		Management Review *																П	V
7	6.1 & 6.2	Resources & Competence									/							П	
8	6.3 & 6.4	Infrastructure & Work Environment										/	1	/	/	1		\sqcap	
9	7.1	Product Realization Planning	\vdash		-	<u> </u>	_	_					Y		×	V	\vdash	┟─┤	
10	7.2	Customer Related Process & Communication										/							
11	7.3	Design & Development											/					\dashv	
12	7.4	Purchasing					_						V	7		\vdash		\neg	
13	7.5.1 & 7.5.3	Process Provision and ID&T Activities												<u></u>				1	
14	7.5.2	Process Validation														\Box	\Box	\dashv	7
15	7.5.4	Customer Property											7			\Box			
16	7.5.5	Handling, Preservation, Storage & Delivery										•		<u> </u>				T	~
17	7.6	Calibration															\neg	ヿ	
18	8.1	Measurement & Monitoring Planning																T	/
19*	8.2.1*	Customer Satisfaction*	/			/				\								\Box	V
20* 21	8.2.2* 8.2.3	Internal Audits* Measurement & Monitoring of Process									-					\dashv		\dashv	
. 22	8.2.4	Measurement & Monitoring of Product														\dashv	\neg	\dashv	1
23	8.3	Non-Conforming Processes/Products														1	\dashv	十	
24	8.4	Analysis of Data	$\vdash \vdash$		✓		1	\dashv	_		\dashv		-		-		\dashv	+	
25*	8.5.1*	Continuous Improvement*		\dashv	<u> </u>		•		1		\dashv		\dashv	\neg	\dashv	\dashv	-	+	
26*	8.5.2 & 8.5.3*	Corrective/Preventive* Action															$\neg \uparrow$	-+	V
Use of NQA			<u> </u>			\dashv				-				\dashv	\dashv	+			
					i	l						i					\dashv	Pas	æ_/
																	İ	Pag of	47

Note: Please fill in table including areas/sites/departments/functions visited during each visit.



ISO 9000 CLAUSE	Applicable	AUDIT RECORD	Non Complianc	
Auditor(s)	Rick Giguere	Joe Grieco		
6.1, 6.2	9001:2000	Reviewed methods for determining competency requirements as per NPG 3430.1, and subsequent actions taken to satisfy those needs, methods used to evaluate actions taken. Also reviewed personnel awareness of relevance and importance of their activities to achievement of quality objectives. Sampled performance appraisal records from 5 organizations (SD60, SD43, SD44, MP21, ED 50, as evidence of evaluation of competencies.	0 0	
5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4	9001:2000	Reviewed top management commitment as evidenced by implementation of the qms and continual improvement of its effectiveness. Included a review of established quality objectives, the enhancement of customer satisfaction, and establishment of a quality policy that also includes a commitment to comply with requirements and continually improve effectiveness of the qms. Reviewed quality objectives as defined in MPD 1280.1 for deployment at relevant functions and levels of the organization and for measurability and consistency with the quality policy.	1 1	
4.1, 4.2.1	9001:2000	Reviewed defined quality management system for distinctives regarding 9000:2000 requirements including determination of sequence and interaction of processes, and the implementation of actions to achieve planned results and continual improvement. Reference MPD 1280.1. Also included a review of outsourced activities and associated controls. Also reviewed defined quality policy and objectives.	0 0	
4.2.2, 4.2.3	9001:2000	Reviewed quality manual changes since last audit, particularly as they relate to the 9000:2000 requirements. Evaluated scope and exclusions taken of which there were none. Included a review of the description of the interaction between the processes of the qms. Evaluated review and approval process of procedures and work instructions along with distribution of electronic versions, control and access of documents at point of use.	0 0	
8.2.2	9001:2000	Reviewed internal audit process for scheduled activities, auditor independence and training, audit preparation, records and processing noncompliances as per MPG 1280.6. Sampled audit #'s MC 09200101, QS02200101, associated NCR's	0 0	



ISO 9000 Applicable CLAUSES Standard		AUDIT RECORD	Non Compliances Or Observations		
Auditor(s)	Rick Giguere	Joe Grieco			
8.2.1	9001:2000	Reviewed methods in place for determining levels of customer satisfaction as defined in MPG 1280.8, Customer satisfaction and MWI1280.2, Customer Feedback System. Included use of customer surveys/employees surveys, compiled survey results dated 6/00. Visited organizations AD01, CD 40.	1	0	
4.2.4	9001:2000	Reviewed the control of records as defined in MPG 1440.2, MFSC Records Management Program. Included an assortment of records for review including design records, management review, RCAR, DR's, QSDN's, internal audits, customer feedback, contract review records, procurement, and preventive action records. Assessed identification, storage, protection, retrieval, retention times and disposition.	0	0	
8.2.3	ISO 9001	Interviewed the Manager or ECLSS, & ACM Specialist, discussed Process Control, Statistical Techniques and how they relate to Process Control, and the Measurement of Processes. Reviewed MPG 1280.2 Revision D, Process Control. Reviewed the Monthly/Quarterly ISS Node 3 Regenerative ECLSS Status Report, Verifying Tracking, Trending, Risk Management, including Contingency Plans, Mitigation Plans & Historical Events/Status Reports. Verified last 2 ECLSS System Quality Changes ECR ED-2280, PCN WR00610 and ECR FD21-0251, PCN WR00141.	0	0	
7.2	ISO 9001	Interviewed Project Managers for Projects POIC & ULCI, Discussed how the organization determines, Statutory and Regulatory requirements, and any additional requirements not stated by the Customer, but determined necessary by the organization. Assessed International Space Station Program, reviewed the ICD-B Flight Safety process. Any statutory, regulatory or additional required requirements missed in the Initial Design Review will be added during the Critical Design Review, prior to development. Sampled Minutes of Reviews, verifying action items being tracked to conclusion.	0	0	
6.3	ISO 9002	Assessed MSFC Infrastructure through out this Assessment. Assessed ISTAR Engine Demonstrator Project and the Pay load Carriers Integration program, Reviewed the contracts and the Statement of Work J-1. The SOW's contains the Infrastructure needed to achieve conformity to product requirements and satisfy Requirement 6.3.	0	0	



ISO 9000 Applicable CLAUSES Standard		AUDIT RECORD	Non Compliances Or Observations		
Auditor(s)	Rick Giguere	Joe Grieco			
7.3	ISO 9001	Interviewed the Deputy Manager of Facilities Engineering Department & the Team Lead of Civil/Structural Design Team & the Center Structural Representative for ISO. Reviewed MPG 8823.1 Design Control of Facilities for determining what buildings must comply with, and OI's used to support the MPG. Process includes Design Requirements Verification, Validation and Change Management. Interviewed Team Lead, special Test Equipment and Design Group. Reviewed MPG 8060.2 Non-Flight and Non-Facility Design and Development. Assessed drawings for the SRB Separation Bolt Test Frame. Aft Bolt Assembly, drawing number 90M11467. There is a STE Design Group Support Request form which gets submitted to start the design process, the form often contains either the requirements or the Point of Contact to get them, some/most of the Design I/P's are received by phone or e-mail, meetings are then held with the requester who must sign off on the drawings to verify the design meets the requirements. After the design is signed off an ATP (Authority to proceed) is needed to continue with the development.	0	0	
8.3	ISO 9001	Interviewed Inspection Team Lead for QS10, MRB Hold Area, Electrical Fabrication Shop Area, & the Electrical Parts Test Lab Reviewed MPG 8730.3 Revision C "Control of Nonconforming Product", and MWI 8730.3 Revision A "MSFC Material Review System". Discussed the handling, storage and Disposition of Nonconforming Product. Sampled in the Material Review Crib DR's 7012, 7026 & 7027, In MRB Hold Area, DR 7031 G-Limit Assembly, In the Electrical Fabrication Shop DR 7035 Well Cable, In Test Lab DR 6974 DC/DC Converter assembly.	0	0	
7.4	ISO 9001	Interviewed the Deputy Director of Procurement & the Manager Space Transportation Support Department, Reviewed MPG 5000.1 Rev C Purchasing, OWI-08 Rev F Vendor Sources & Past Performance Data & OWI-05 Signature Authority. Discussed the selection, evaluation and reevaluation of Vendors, Approval Authority and Verification of Purchase Product. Sampled Purchase Orders H33169D, Vendor 54925, Cage 6L169, P.O. H34684D, Vendor 55908, Cage 00xz1 and P.O. 01098, Vendor 10860, Verifying Approved Vendor, and Correct Level of Authorization.	0	O	
8.4, 8.5.1	9001:2000	Reviewed methods for collecting and analyzing data to demonstrate the suitability and effectiveness of the quality system and identify areas of improvement. Sampled management positions in AD 40, AD01, and HEI. Reviewed identified metrics at Directorate and department levels. Reviewed relationship of improvement activities to policy and objectives. Reference MPG 1280.9, Continual Improvement.	0	0	



ISO 9000 CLAUSE	Applicable	AUDIT RECORD	Non Con Or Obse	-
Auditor(s)	Rick Giguere	Joe Grieco		
6.4	ISO 9001	Assessed buildings and work space environment needed to achieve conformity to product requirements, in all areas visited during this Audit.	0	0
8.5.2, 8.5.3	9001:2000	Reviewed corrective and preventive activities as defined in MPD 1280.1 and MPG 1280.4. Reviewed GIDEP Alerts, #7446, RCAR #176, 177, QSDN # 125. Evaluated root cause analysis, actions taken and verification activities. Also reviewed preventive actions as per Risk management Plan, ECLSS, FD21-001.	0	0
5.5, 5.6	9001:2000	Interviewed management Representative to ensure the promotion of awareness of customer requirements throughout the organization, and evidence of improvement activities, as noted in management review meeting minutes. Discussed methods used to communicate the effectiveness of the quality system, via web site metrics, and assorted team meetings. Reviewed management review meeting minutes dated 11/6/01 for adequate reporting of the suitability and effectiveness of the quality system. Review included results of audits, customer feedback, process performance measures and product conformity metrics, status of corrective and preventive actions, follow-up actions and improvement measures.	0	0
7.5.4	ISO 9001	The only Customer Property, I came across, was Intellectual property. Mentioned in Contract NAS8-44000. This organization is primarily a service organization that don't take custody of customer hardware, it is turned over to the Cape.	0	0
		Total	2 Page:	1 of



Ref No.	Clause No.	Applicable Standard	NON-CONFORMANCE AND OBSERVATIONS/OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT RAISED	NC/OBS/OI
1	5.4.1	9001:2000	Additional quality objectives used to assess the health of the MMS and to identify opportunities for improvement are identified in MPD1280.1. Item C, Detect nonconformances and take corrective action, includes no metric or measure of performance. Furthermore it's value as a measure of performance is questionable since increases or decreases by themselves do not necessarily indicate improvement or degredation.	NC
2	8.2.1	9001:2000	A review of customer satisfaction measures throughout the organization reveals inconsistencies in implementation. Certain directorates have not obtained sufficient data with which to make clear determinations of customer perception of performance. Reference CaER.	NC
3	5.4.1	9001:2000	Reference NQA audit report 01/35812/PRA01, item #4. The MSFC Implementation plan identifies a broad landscape of objectives that span the organization, but it is unclear as to the depth that these objectives are employed throughout the organization to the extent that individuals would understand their contribution to established objectives.	0

Signed for NQA Willaul Staz

Signed for Company_

Page: 7 of 7